Exhibit D

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

VANGUARD IDENTIFICATION

CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-2943

SYSTEMS, INC.

: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

September 23, 2004 10:00 o'clock a.m.

33DE GD

v.

RONNIE E. GOADE, SR., : Individually and as Trustee : for the Ronnie E. Goade, Sr. :

Revocable Trust, et al

JURY TRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN P. FULLAM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiffs: GEORGE BOCHETTO, ESQUIRE

Bocchetto & Lentz, PC 1524 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19102

For the Defendants: MARC L. ZAKEN, ESQUIRE

Edwards & Angell, LLP

Three Stanford Plaza, Suite 1310

Stanford, CT 06901

Audio Operator: John Stasny

Transcribed by: * Tracey Williams, CET

(Proceedings recorded by For The Record Gold digital sound recording; transcript provided by AAERT-certified transcribers.)



CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Geraldine C. Laws, CET Laws Transcription Service Date

buyer that's on Dresner Exhibit 8 is Ron Goade. Let me start you out by asking this: How is it that Ron Goade became a bidder for SSI?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Riddle - Deposition 4 1 Α I believe we approached him. 2 We, meaning Dresner? Q Correct. 3 Α Who at Dresner approached Ron Goade? 4 Candidly, I don't recall. It would have either been Joe 5 6 or me. That's Joe Cosergis or you? 7 Q 8 Α Correct. And do you have any recollection of Mr. Goade's initial 9 reactions to your contacting him regarding him being a bidder 10 in SSI? 11 I don't, although I do recall that in our conversations 12 Ron was conflicted, meaning -- not in a legal sense, but 13 rather on the one hand he wanted to reacquire and in effect 14 save his company, on the other hand he saw that there was a 15 bit of risk in acquiring the company. The business was not 16 17 performing very well. Now, did Mr. Goade ultimately make an offer to purchase 18 SSI? 19 He did. 20 Α And what was his offer? 21 22 Α 2 million cash. Did he have any contingencies? 23 24 Α No. Did he have any due diligence requirements? 25 Q

Riddle - Deposition The benefit of selling a company back to the founder 1 Α No. of that company is that he would know it very well, he likely 2 hired almost everybody that was working at the company, he 3 likely purchased all of the equipment, he likely established 4 relationships with all of the company's key customers, he 5 clearly knew the business. So, his diligence requirements 6 were little, if any. 7 Did he have any financing requirements? 8 No. Α 9 At the time that you prepared this Dresner Exhibit 8 or 10 Dresner -- withdrawn. 11 At the time that your company, Dresner Investments, 12 prepared Dresner Exhibit 8, were you aware of a company 13 called Vanquard? 14 15 Α We were. And had Vanguard made a bid for SSI? 16 I don't believe so. 17 Α Had you made any efforts to contact Vanguard to see if 18 they were interested in making a bid for SSI? 19 We did contact Vanguard, I don't recall the timing of 20 that relative to our preparing this exhibit. Vanguard was a 21 competitor, I believe, of this business and, as I recall, 22

they and others were initially on an excluded list, and what

I mean by that is that the company did not want us to contact

This is very

certain potential buyers for various reasons.

23

24

25

Riddle - Deposition

typical in a sale process, many times it's for competitive issues, the belief being that if you share information about the company that you're selling to a competitor, it could damage that company, the value of that company, relative to suppliers, customers, what have you. At some point, as your -- some of the documents that you sent us show, we did contact Vanguard.

part of what's going on, and you can see in the date order of some of these documents, I believe we were initially precluded from contacting Vanguard. We ran a process, we surfaced a handful of potential buyers. None of these buyers were overly aggressive in pursuing this deal, even though Ron, as you show in one of the exhibits you sent me, sent an e-mail with an offer subject to no contingencies, et cetera. As I recall, he was of two minds as to whether he wanted to acquire the business; on the one hand, he did; on the other hand, as I recall, he backed away. And so there was a question as to whether we had a real buyer to acquire this business.

The timing of some of the communication here -- as I mentioned, in June, the banks began to pressure the company from the standpoint of suggesting that they were running out of the ability to continue to finance Docusystems. Some time in early to mid-July, they suggested that they were not going to fund beyond a certain date, I believe that date was July

Riddle - Deposition 10 I don't believe so. At the end of the day, you know, our diligence of the company, looking at employment records, et cetera, I can't say categorically no. But Ron didn't work for the company, as far as we knew, and my understanding of his relationship is he sold the company to Docusystems, and typically in that situation the seller moves on and Docusystems had installed its own management in running SSI, so Ron was not involved with the company.

- Now, did you at some point undertake an analysis or 9 10 evaluation of the bids that you had received that are
- 11 reflected on Dresner Exhibit 8?
- 12 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

22

24

25

Α

- And can you take me through that analysis of those bids 13 as you recall it --14
- 15 Α Sure.
- 16 -- at the time?

We have -- in this case, the analysis was fairly 17 Sure. 18 simple. Norwood, we had 4 million. I don't remember if we had a letter that backed that up, you didn't provide me one 19 20 from the records that we sent to you, but that would have been -- in all cases, they would have been cash-for-assets 21 kind of transactions. Their offer was 4 million but, as I said earlier, they really started to back away and we 23 discounted them as a legitimate buyer. Lucas was 3 million, without the land and building, so ... whereas Stonehouse was

Riddle - Deposition

2.6 million, I believe inclusive of the land... let me look at our analysis here.

(Pause.)

A Yes, that's inclusive of the land and building. It looks like we assigned a million, two to the land and building and there were -- there were two parcels, as I recall. So, net of the building, their offer was a million, four for the operating business and a million, two for the building. If you compare that to Lucas, then Lucas's offer was 3 million for the operations and the company would retain the land and building. So, apples to apples, to compare the two, Lucas was 3 plus the million, two, so 4.2, Stonehouse was 2.6.

We spent a fair amount of time with the principals of Lucas Color Card, we got very far down the road with them in pursuing a transaction. They -- I think they brought an investor, they actually identified an equity partner that would supplement their bank financing, and he may have actually come to Chicago. We worked with them extensively and ultimately we just ran out of time to try to do a deal with Lucas. It was a good fit for their company, it would have really made them a larger business, but they just couldn't react quickly enough in raising the --

Q What do you mean by ran out of time?

A Well, again, the banks were suggesting that they were not going to be forbear any longer, that they were not going to

Riddle - Deposition

continue to lend, which would have, in effect, made the company illiquid. Basically, the company would not have been able to pay payroll, buy supplies, and, thus, would have had to shut their doors. If that happens, given the number of potential suppliers in the marketplace, the franchise value of Stickstrip would have gone away and, in effect, would have been -- the company would have been worth no more than the auction value of its equipment.

So that's what I mean by we ran out of time, in effect, to allow Lucas to get a deal done with us.

- Q And what happened to the Stonehouse bid? You basically gave me a comparison that suggested that the Lucas bid was a better bid than the Stonehouse bid.
- A Correct. Stonehouse, as our -- as the comments suggest on --
- O You mean the comments on Exhibit 8?
- A Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9, they're the same document. It says, "going," c-o-l, that means -- that was probably cold, "going cold on transaction." They were interested -- Stonehouse is actually in Oklahoma, I believe, so it would have been easy for them to do the deal, but, you know, they're a small company as well. At even two and a half million, it would have been a decent amount of capital going out the door for them and, as I recall, they were sort backing away from the deal, as did Norwood.

13 Riddle - Deposition And what was your analysis of Mr. Goade's bid at the 1 Q 2 time? Well, if you look at this exhibit, this was clearly 3 prepared before he sent the e-mail that you have marked as 4 Exhibit... 10. We were unclear as to what Ron was going to 5 bid; he was, as I said earlier, showing interest, then he was 6 7 showing caution, then showing interest. He knew that Docusystems was running out of cash and that the company may 8 be shut down, he didn't want to see that happen. 9 ultimately, as you see in Exhibit 10, he made an offer of \$2 10 million to cash and suggested that he could close within a 11 couple of days. This offer was made on a Thursday, he closed 12 it on a Monday or a Tuesday the following week. 13 And this Dresner Exhibit 10, is that an e-mail that you 14 15 received from Ron Goade on July 13 of 2000? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q And is that his written offer? 18 Α Yes. Now, if you could take a look at Dresner Exhibit 11? 19 Yes, I've got it. 20 Α That is also dated July 13, 2000? 21 Q 22 Α Yes. Which is the same day you received Mr. Goade's bid? 23 Q 24 Α Correct. And do you recall Dresner Exhibit 11? 25 0

Riddle - Deposition 15 whole company, our incentive is to sell it for the highest 1 If we had an offer from a party, we would include 2 them in the mix and assess that against, you know, the other 4 bids that we had. Okay. And as of July 13, did you have an offer from 5 6 Vanguard, do you recall? 7 I don't believe so. 8 And what decision was ultimately made with respect to 9 selling the assets of SSI? The company decided to move forward with Ron Goade's 10 11 offer. And who at the company made that decision? 12 0 13 Α Candidly, I don't recall. 14 Was Code Hennessey a participant in that decision? 15 Α They would have been, yes. 16 Was Heller a participant in that discussion? From the standpoint of a secured lender, they would have 17 to assent to a transaction that -- where their assets were 18 -- the assets that they were lending against were sold, they 19 20 would have to release collateral, so in effect they were --21 they would have to assent to the transaction, but ultimately the company would approve any transaction. 22 23 And did you make a recommendation to the company as to who to sell the assets of SSI to? 24 I'm sure I did, I don't recall. But looking at the track 25

Riddle - Deposition

16

1

7

8

record of what happened here, really the only offer we had

2 that was able to get closed in a reasonable period of time

was Ron Goade's offer. So, I would have to say that we did 3

4 have a fair bit of interaction with Lucas, they did a lot of

5 work, they got close, but ultimately it was unclear whether

6 they could close, so ... you know, we likely recommended they

go ahead with Ron Goade.

And can you tell me when the Ron Goade transaction of

9 selling to him the assets of SSI occurred?

10 Again, I believe it was -- the deal closed the following

Monday or Tuesday, so it would have been the 17th or 18th of 11

12 July.

And what was involved in the closing? 13

14 Well, Ron made his offer, as evidenced here in this e-

15 mail in Exhibit 10. He had to post the banks to ensure that

16 he was a legitimate buyer, require that he post an escrow,

here it suggests he posted a \$200,000 deposition. 17

remember if that was a nonrefundable deposit, but he did put 18

19 money on account to show sincerity. I believe he was

20 immediately sent and he may have already had actually an

21 asset purchase agreement. And then he came in to Chicago

with his attorney, I believe over the weekend, and they and 22

23 the company and respective counsel spent the better part of

the whole weekend negotiating documentation, probably into 24

Monday, and ultimately closed Monday or Tuesday. 25

We've been giving the jury exhibit books, but we haven't included in them all of the exhibits that were presented to these witnesses to streamline it, we've been -- we've gotten some questions from the jury about missing exhibits and I just wanted to make it clear that that was the reason for that.

THE COURT: Whatever.

(At this time excerpts of the videotape deposition of Joe Cosergis were played as follows:)

- Q Do you know if Ron Goade ever made a bid to purchase the assets of SSI?
- 12 A He made a bid in that last week, in that final week.
- 13 | Q Do you know how that came about?
 - A Yes, I do. You know, from the time we had marketed the transaction, which would have been, you know, July, late June, early July, we had spoken to him once or twice and he was considering it. And then, you know, at one point I think he just -- he even backed away and decided he was no longer interested in it. -And then, as we got the asset purchase agreement, we sent it down to him, and I think he had, you know, kind of a personal desire to purchase the business, you know, seeing the company was about ready to be closed down, and came in and bought the business.
 - Q What do you mean by a personal desire?
- 25 | A You know, you know, saving the employee jobs. And, you

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

Cosergis - Deposition 38 1 know, I was down there that last week on some investor presentations and, you know, the employees were worried that 2 the business was literally going to be shut down on Friday, I 3 think the bank group may have even been saying, hey, we're 4 going to shut this thing down on Friday, which is kind of a 5 typical thing to be said during these turn-around situations, and so a little bit -- you know, it was a little bit chaotic. Do you recall exactly what Friday it was? You know, I see on this one e -- let's see, where was it -- I saw that we e-mailed to him -- or Chris Gannon, who was my associate, e-mailed something to him on the 10th, and then I believe it was literally the Friday, the 14th, where I think he may have wired in some money, and I think the 14 following Monday it was closed, I believe, somewhere in that time frame. The deal was closed, to sell the assets to Mr. Goade closed on July 17th? I think that sounds about right. Okay, but you were saying before that there was a Friday that the doors of SSI were going to be closed and shut? I mean, it was the last asset of all Docusystems, Yes. the bank group and, you know, the investors are impatient and, you know -- had, you know, made some announcements and inclinations that the business may be shut down and -- which,

again, is typical during these processes and sometimes they

Coserqis - Deposition 40 1 stating that he's going to include a deposition of 2 million 2 on Friday the 14th and then pay the balance on Monday, the 17th. 3 Did you participate in any conversations with Mr. Goade relative to his \$2 million offer? 5 I may have had a conversation with him that week, but --6 7 that he was going to send something in, you know, I was asking if he was in fact going to bid, I wasn't sure, and he 8 9 corresponded via the e-mail that he was going to put in a bid. 10 Did you participate in any discussions at Dresner 11 regarding who the assets of SSI would be sold to? 12 13 You know, we had discussions on, you know, the four or 14 five groups on that previous exhibit, you know, Stonehouse, 15 Norwood, you know, Ron Goade, there were three or four groups 16 that were becoming -- looked like they were becoming more 17 finalists and we had discussions in relation to those groups. 18 And at some point obviously the decision was made to sell 19 the assets to Ron Goade? 20 Correct. 21 And did you participate in the discussions that led to 22 that decision? No, we simply -- to Code Hennessey and to the bank group, 23 24 we presented the offers, and -- and then they -- then they came back to us and said this is who we're going with, and 25

Cosergis - Deposition 41 then that was it. 1 2 And who at Code Hennessey communicated to you that they 3 would sell the assets to Ron Goade? You know, Steve Brown was the lead there and I believe he 4 5 would have done that to probably either John or myself. Could you take a look at Dresner Exhibit 11? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 This -- do you recognize this? 9 A I -- I don't recollect it, no. 10 It says it's from Joe Cosergis to Bob Kane, do you see 11 that? 12 Α I do. 13 Do you know who Bob Kane is? 14 A I don't. Do you recall having any discussions with anyone -- it 15 says below that -- withdrawn -- it says below that, "To Bob 16 17 Kane, company Vanquard, do you see that? 18 Α Yes, I do. 19 Do you know what Vanquard is? 20 I know who Vanquard is, yes. 21 And tell me what you know about Vanguard. I knew they were a company that was in the transaction 22 23 card business and I was aware that there was some, you know,

ongoing litigation with Vanquard and with SSI.

Do you recall any discussion with Bob Kane about this

24

25

Cosergis - Deposition 44 1 have come back with the exclusions. Code Hennessey, I believe you said, was the stockholders 2 in Vanguard? 3 That's correct. That's the company you were working with that had Steve 5 6 Brown? 7 Α Correct. Do you know whether Mr. Goade, Ron Goade, ever worked for 8 Docusystems? 9 Do I -- I don't -- not to my knowledge, I don't believe 10 11 he worked for them. Do you know whether Mr. Goade was ever on the board of 12 directors of Docusystems? 13 14 To my knowledge, I don't know. Other than in your dealings with Mr. Goade when he --15 withdrawn. 16 Was your dealings with Mr. Goade limited to the 17 period of time when he was a bidder to by the assets of SSI? 18 19 That's correct-And do you know how he -- who contacted Mr. Goade or --20 withdrawn. 21 Do you know how Mr. Goade became involved in being a 22 bidder for the assets of SSI? 23 I mean, we were aware that he was a previous owner 24 and we contacted him, thinking he may want to purchase it 25

	Cosergis - Deposition 45
1	again, and had, you know, a few conversations with him via
2	the phone and and, as I mentioned earlier, you know, I
3	think he decided to take a pass on it initially and then came
4	back during that last week, after he got the purchase
5	agreement, and decided this is something he wanted to do.
6	Q And did you participate in those conversations with Mr.
7	Goade?
8	A I had a couple of conversations with him, yes.
9	Q About his interest in the company?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And when you spoke to him initially he was not interested
12	and then, ultimately, he did make an offer?
13	A Correct.
14	MR. ZAKEN: I have no other questions. Thank you,
15	Mr. Cosergis. Mr. Perlman may have some questions for you.
16	(Videotape stopped.)
17	MR. ZAKEN: That's it for this witness, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: That's nice. Anything further?
19	MR. ZAKEN: Yes. We have another videotape of Hugh
20	Wilder, it's about an hour long, and then we have one more
21	videotape after that, which is about 15 minutes. And that
22	will be it for the videotapes.
23	(Pause.)
24	COUNSEL: Your Honor, we have another exhibit binder
25	to give to the jury to with the corresponding exhibits

Wilder - Deposition 64 July, 2000. 1 Α Of 2000? 2 0 3 Α Yes. And was one of those assets the sale of the assets of SSI Technologies? 5 Yes, it was. 6 Α 7 And do you know who had placed bids to purchase the assets of SSI Technologies? 8 9 A I don't recall. Was Ron Goade one of those bidders? 10 11 Yes, he was. And you know that because Ron Goade is the one who bought 12 13 the assets? 14 Α Yes, I do -- yes. And do you know whether anybody else bid or what the 15 16 terms of their bids were? 17 Α I don't recall. Do you know what the terms of Ron Goade's bid was? 18 Not in specifies, but I do know that Mr. Goade was 19 prepared to purchase the company in a very, very expedited 20 period of time for an amount of money, as far as value was 21 concerned, that was in line with Dresner's expectations for 22 the value of that business and there were, as I recall, 23 basically no contingencies on Mr. Goade being able to 24 25 complete the purchase of the assets.

65 Wilder - Deposition Okay. Let me just take you through a few of the things 1 that you just said, if I may. You said Mr. Goade was ready 2 to make the purchase in a short time frame; do you have any 3 recollection as to how quick the time frame was? 4 Other than it was very -- it was on an expedited basis, I 5 would -- he was in a position to buy -- from looking at 6 Exhibit 21, the sale to Mr. Goade was completed within almost 7 30 days of -- of when we had decided to exercise our remedies 8 and foreclose on the assets of Docusystems. 9 All right, that's 30 days from when Heller made the 10 decision --11 12 Right. -- that it would foreclose and sell off the assets of 13 Docusystems, including SSI, right? 14 Less than 30 days, yes. 15 Α But that's not necessarily 30 days from when Mr. Goade 16 was first contacted about purchasing the assets? 17 I don't know when Mr. Goade was originally contacted as 18 far as purchasing the assets. 19 Okay. But from the time he was contacted to the time 20 that he actually closed on purchasing the assets was within 21 those 30 days you're talking about? 22 I don't know when Mr. Goade was originally contacted, the 23 only time frames I can recall from the documents is that he 24 purchased the assets within 30 days of our foreclosing on the 25

22

23

24

25

complete the transaction.

And you mentioned earlier a time -- in this deposition

the phrase, due diligence and further investigation, would

67 Wilder - Deposition these things that you're talking about a buyer potentially 1 investigating such as environmental issues, books and 2 records, customers, et cetera, those things would fall within 3 the umbrella of what you referred to earlier as due 4 diligence? 5 6 Yes. So, if I understand you, your testimony is that Mr. Goade 7 8 was willing to buy the assets without conducting any due 9 diligence, is that fair to say? I don't know what due diligence Mr. Goade had done at any 10 11 point in time in the transaction, what I do know is Mr. Goade 12 was ready to move forward with the transaction which had a 13 very, very high certainty of closure within a very short 14 period of time. Okay. And you mentioned a financing contingency, does 15 16 that mean a loan --17 Yes. -- that a purchaser -- and Mr. Goade was not seeking a 18 loan to purchase the assets, as far as you knew, correct? 19 He did not require a financing contingency as part of his 20 21 purchase agreement. And, just generally, can you tell me what a financing 22 23 contingency is? He had the money to close the deal, he didn't -- he 24 didn't need to go and get a loan from anybody else to make 25

Wilder - Deposition 68 the deal come to conclusion. 1 And why would a financing contingency be problematic, if 2 it was, for Heller in determining a good purchaser for the 3 assets of Docusystems? 4 It adds a level of uncertainty as far as the transaction 5 actually happening that --6 7 Does that mean that maybe you don't -- maybe the buyer has a contingency that he has to get a loan to be able to pay 8 9 for the assets and, for whatever reason, the loan doesn't 10 come through --11 Α Yes. -- is that the problem? 12 And then you're 45 or 60 days further down the 13 14 road, incurring losses at the company's operation, and you 15 are basically back at square one. Okay. Now, in considering an offer for the purchase of 16 17 assets of Docusystems, would the potential for financing contingencies or due diligence contingencies be a factor in 18 19 your consideration-of whether a buyer was attractive or not? 20 Certainly. And would you consider a buyer who had no financing 21 22 contingencies and no due diligence time frame requirements to be more attractive than one that did have those 23 contingencies? 24 25 All other things being equal, yes.

Wilder - Deposition 69 Q All right. Now, let me get you to the all-other-thingsbeing-equal part. Would that -- would the all other things being equal include the purchase price or the amount of money that you were going to get for the assets? A Not necessarily. There is -- you make an evaluation, if the, let's call it the more certain transaction has a lower dollar amount versus the transaction with contingencies at a higher amount, you make the economic decision as far as what's best for the institutions that you represent as far as trying to maximize the recoveries on the loan and doing so without incurring additional losses in a transaction. The institutions within the bank group made the decision that Mr.

In other words, the

additional dollar amounts that may have been on the table for the company, for SSI at that time, in light of the

Goade's offer provided an acceptable level of recovery with

contingencies or the requirements of that deal getting done,

were viewed to be too risky in light of the continued

19 operating losses that were being suffered at the business.

Q Okay. Would it be fair to summarize what you just said
with the old saying of a bird in the hand is better than two

22 | in the bush?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

MR. PERLMAN: Objection.

the higher certainty of closure.

MR. ZAKEN: I'll withdraw it.

BY MR. ZAKEN:

70

Wilder - Deposition 73 1 All right, that's fair. I didn't mean to suggest that 2 Heller had insisted that Dresner in particular be hired, but Dresner was hired by Docusystems to satisfy a condition that 3 Heller had set that Docusystems retain some financial advisor 4 to sell assets --5 Α Yes. 6 7 -- right? 8 And was there any reason that Heller chose to sell. the assets of Stickstrip to Mr. Goade through this 9 10 foreclosure agreement other than that it was in Heller's best 11 interest to do so? None that I can recall. 12 13 What I'm really getting at is whether there was any... 14 purpose to feather Mr. Goade's nest in some way or to benefit 15 Mr. Goade to the detriment of maybe other purchasers who 16 would have been, you know, a higher bid or more attractive 17 just because of some favoritism to Ron Goade, was anything like that going on in this transaction? 18 19 Absolutely not-20 Did Heller Financial have any loyalties to Ron Goade? 21 Α No. Did Heller Financial have any particular interest in 22 23 seeing that Ron Goade was benefitted by this transaction to 24 Heller's detriment? 25 Α No.